

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/437,726 11/09/1999		11/09/1999	WILLEM P. C. STEMMER	02-029220US 8363	
30560	7590	01/30/2003			
MAXYGEN			EXAMINER		
515 GALVE	STON D		SISSON, BRADLEY L		
RED WOOD	CITY, C	CA 94063	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1634	
				DATE MAILED: 01/30/2003	31

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/437,726	•	STEMMER ET AL.				
Office	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Bradley L.	Sisson	1634				
The MAIL	ING DATE of this communication			orrespondence add	ress			
Period for Reply								
THE MAILING C - Extensions of time r after SIX (6) MONTI - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply withi - Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FORTE OF THIS COMMUNICAT may be available under the provisions of 37 (HS from the mailing date of this communicative specified above is less than thirty (30) days y is specified above, the maximum statutory in the set or extended period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ion. s, a reply within the statut period will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>13 November 2</u>	<u>002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action	on is FINAL . 2b)	This action is r	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clai								
4) Claim(s) 10-19 and 21-37 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-19 and 21-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	is/are allowed.							
_	27-37 is/are rejected.							
	is/are objected to.							
,	are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
<i>'</i> — ·	ng(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to by the Eva	miner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1.☐ Ce	rtified copies of the priority doc	uments have beei	n received.					
 2. <u>□</u> Ce	rtified copies of the priority doc	uments have bee	n received in Applicat	ion No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) 🗌 The t	ranslation of the foreign langua	nge provisional ap	plication has been re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>							
	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 posure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			ry (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/437,726

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 10-19 and 21-26 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 12.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 13 November 2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: At page 35, line 14 to page 36, line 9, the aforementioned section of the specification was replaced with a section that introduced the term "maximal" into the phrase "maximal velocity of carboxylation."

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 27-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

Application/Control Number: 09/437,726 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment of 13 November 2002 introduced the term "maximal" into claims 29 and 30, claims that depend from claim 27. The specification has not been shown to provide adequate support for this term. Acknowledgement is made of applicant's remarks where at page 4 of the response of 13 November 2002, hereinafter the response, applicant asserts that one of skill in the art would have understood that "maximal velocity" was being implied when the term "velocity" had been used previously. This assertion of support has not been found persuasive. It is well settled that "[I]t is not enough for purposes of written description requirement of Section 112 that the disclosure, when combined with the knowledge in the art, would lead one to speculate as to modification that the inventor might have envisioned, but failed to disclose." Lockwood v. American Airlines Inc. (Fed. Cir. March 1997) 41 USQP2d 1961 at 1966. Further, a check of the prior art finds other modifiers associated with the term "velocity." In particular, Fredericks et al., makes reference to "initial velocity" in characterizing the rate of another enzymatic reaction. Accordingly, the specification does not provide adequate support for the newly added verbiage.

Conclusion

- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 6. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/437,726

Art Unit: 1634

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 4

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is (703) 308-3978.

The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Thursday.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Bradley L. Sisson **Primary Examiner**

R. L. Sinon

Art Unit 1634

BLS

January 25, 2003